



Aiming low: Can minimizing fraction of inspired oxygen during endobronchial valve placement reduce postprocedural pneumothorax?

Aiming low: Can minimizing fraction of inspired oxygen during endobronchial valve placement reduce postprocedural pneumothorax?

AnnalsATS / 2023

The clinical question

Does low fraction of inspired oxygen (FiO₂) during endobronchial valve placement reduce incidence of postprocedural pneumothorax (PTX) in patients with emphysema?

AABIP take home message

In this before-and-after cohort study, an FiO₂ titrated to maintain oxygen saturation $\geq 89\%$ during endobronchial valve placement significantly reduced postprocedural PTX compared to a routine, higher FiO₂ strategy.

Limited data also suggest that low intraprocedural FiO₂ may delay PTX development, a potential safety consideration that requires further characterization.

Background

- In advanced emphysema, severe hyperinflation leads to frequent exacerbations, reduced quality of life, and earlier mortality, even with maximal medical therapy.
- Bronchoscopic lung volume reduction (BLVR) with endobronchial valves (EBVs) is an effective, minimally invasive alternative to surgical interventions for carefully selected patients with severe emphysema.
- EBVs can improve respiratory mechanics by inducing atelectasis (ATX) of the hyperinflated target lobe, improving dyspnea, activity tolerance, and quality of life.
- However, one-fourth to one-third of cases are complicated by postprocedural pneumothorax (PTX).
- Current practice: The rapidity of lobar volume shift after EBV placement is hypothesized to drive development of postprocedural PTX, but no recommendations have been made to mitigate this. Authors of the current study hypothesized that a low intraprocedural FiO₂ might slow absorption atelectasis in the target lobe(s) by preventing rapid nitrogen washout, thereby reducing postprocedural PTX incidence.

Study Design

Study design

- Type of trial: Multicenter before-and-after cohort design
- Study groups: High FiO₂ (n = 45) versus low FiO₂ (n = 29)
- Setting: Vanderbilt University Medical Center in Nashville, Tennessee, and Saint Luke's Hospital in Kansas City, Missouri
- Enrollment: July 2019 – March 2022
- Treatment period: n/a
- Follow up: 22 months (median)
- Primary outcome: Development of postprocedural PTX, defined as PTX within 2 weeks of EBV placement
- Secondary outcome(s):
 - Time to postprocedural PTX (from bronchoscope removal to first radiographic evidence of PTX)
 - Chest tube duration
 - EBV(s) in place at 6 weeks
 - Treatment response: Complete ATX of target lobe(s), partial ATX of target lobe(s), no ATX

Intervention(s)

- Intraprocedural oxygen saturation of patients undergoing Zephyr EBV placement was managed according to either a high or low FiO₂ strategy, depending on whether the procedure occurred before or after adoption of the low FiO₂ protocol.
 - High FiO₂ protocol: FiO₂ maintained per anesthesiologist preference.
 - Low FiO₂ protocol: FiO₂ reduced to lowest possible concentration to maintain oxygen saturation ≥ 89%.
- In both cohorts, patients were preoxygenated at 100% prior to anesthesia induction.

Population

- Inclusion criteria: Adults undergoing EBV placement for severe emphysema, with all procedural and patient selection protocols unchanged throughout the study period
- Exclusion criteria: n/a
- Baseline characteristics: High FiO₂ (n = 45) and low FiO₂ (n = 29) cohorts were well-matched in demographic, spirometric, and radiographic characteristics, aside from emphysema distribution (58% heterogenous in the high FiO₂ cohort vs 38% in the low FiO₂ cohort).
- Procedure characteristics:
 - Mean FiO₂ during EBV placement was 0.95 (standard deviation, 0.13) in the high FiO₂ cohort vs 0.29 (standard deviation, 0.05) in the low FiO₂ cohort.
 - More patients in the high FiO₂ cohort underwent placement of an endotracheal tube for the procedure (38 patients [84%] vs 13 [45%]) while more patients in the low FiO₂ cohort underwent placement of a laryngeal mask airway (16 patients [55%] vs 7 [16%]).

Outcomes

Primary outcome:

- PTX complicated more procedures in the high FiO₂ cohort compared to the low FiO₂ cohort (14 [31%] vs 2 [7%], odds ratio 6.1, 95% CI 1.3-29.2, p = 0.01). No variable other than FiO₂ was associated with postprocedural PTX development.

Secondary outcomes:

- Time to postprocedural PTX
 - High FiO₂ cohort: 6.6 hours
 - Low FiO₂ cohort: 81.4 hours
- Chest tube duration
 - High FiO₂ cohort: 14 ± 9.8 days
 - Low FiO₂ cohort: 3 ± 1.5 days
- EBV in place at 6 weeks
 - High FiO₂ cohort: 25 (56%)
 - Low FiO₂ cohort: 23 (82%)
- Treatment response in target lobe(s)
 - High FiO₂ cohort: complete ATX in 23 (51%), partial ATX in 12 (27%), no ATX in 10 (22%)
 - Low FiO₂ cohort: complete ATX in 18 (62%), partial ATX in 4 (14%), no ATX in 7 (24%)

Adverse events: None reported other than PTX and chest tube duration.

Commentary

Strengths

- First study to compare a low intraprocedural FiO₂ protocol to routine management in patients undergoing EBV placement
- All EBVs were placed under low FiO₂ conditions after the protocol change at each institution, minimizing risk of selection bias
- Well-described and clinically meaningful secondary outcomes; lower rate of PTX in low FiO₂ group was associated with shorter chest tube duration and a higher rate of EBVs remaining in place at 6 weeks
- Change in PTX incidence after instituting low FiO₂ protocol was consistent across both institutions

Limitations

- Modestly sized before-and-after cohort study, limited by potential bias and unmeasured confounders
- Potentially significant baseline and procedural differences between the two cohorts included percentage with heterogeneous disease, use of ETT vs LMA, and slight changes in procedural and mechanical ventilation duration
- Only Zephyr Pulmonx endobronchial valves used, potentially limiting external validity

Funding

Supported by the Carol Odess Discovery Grant in Interventional Pulmonology.

Suggested Reading

Criner GJ, Sue R, Wright S, Dransfield M, Rivas-Perez H, Wiese T, et al. A multicenter randomized controlled trial of zephyr endobronchial valve treatment in heterogeneous emphysema (LIBERATE). *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2018 Nov 1;198(9):1151–64.

Marchetti N, Duffy S, Criner GJ. Interventional bronchoscopic therapies for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Clin Chest Med*. 2020 Sep;41(3):547–57.

Slebos DJ, Shah PL, Herth FJF, Valipour A. Endobronchial valves for endoscopic lung volume reduction: best practice recommendations from expert panel on endoscopic lung volume reduction. *Respiration*. 2017;93(2):138–50.

Valipour A, Slebos DJ, Herth F, Darwiche K, Wagner M, Ficker JH, et al. Endobronchial valve therapy in patients with homogeneous emphysema. Results from the IMPACT study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2016 Nov 1;194(9):1073–82.

van Dijk M, Sue R, Criner GJ, Gompelmann D, Herth FJF, Hogarth DK, et al. Expert statement: pneumothorax associated with one-way valve therapy for emphysema: 2020 update. *Respiration*. 2021;100(10):969–78.

Article citation

Lentz RJ, Low SW, Saettele T, Rickman OB, Aboudara M, Maldonado F. Association between inspired oxygen fraction and pneumothorax after endobronchial valve placement for emphysema. *Ann Am Thorac Soc*. 2023 Jun;20(6):926–9.

Contributors

Author:

Rachel Genova

University of Michigan

ragenova@med.umich.edu

Reviewer:

Max Wayne

University of Michigan

wmax@med.umich.edu

Reviewer:

Kai Swenson

Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center

kswenso1@bidmc.harvard.edu

[@KaiSwenson](#)